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PROCEEDINGS
MS. HOGUE: Good morning; Cindy Hogue. I guess we want to start with any reports that you might have.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do y'all have any reports to give this morning?
(Brief moment of silence)
CONSENT AGENDA
MS. HOGUE: Okay. In the minutes we gave you, there was some corrections and so we gave you the corrected copy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And the Panel's had a chance -- I'll give you a minute to look at the -review the corrected minutes, and then I would entertain a motion.

MS. COFFMAN: Dr. Hernandez, I'm ready to make a motion.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Coffman.
MS. COFFMAN: I make a motion to approve the amended minutes.

MS. BARNES: Second.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We have a motion by Ms. Coffman and a second by Ms. Barnes. All in favor say "aye."
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
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CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any opposed? Okay.
A-1: COVENANT KEEPERS COLLEGE PREPARATORY
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The next item is -- what I've got on the agenda is the Consideration of Covenant Keepers College Preparatory.

MS. HOGUE: Yes. December 10, 2007, Covenant Keepers was granted a charter. Actually, the charter was for grades 6 through 12 and -- they started with 6 through 8 and then they kept adding on, which was allowed in the charter, and they added on attendance. Their cap was approved. So it started at 260 , and now 380, and they're back to serving grades 6 through 8 -- but in the meantime, they have added upper grades. Today, they're here because in July of '14 the State Board of Education met, and based on a three-year -- their test results for three years, for 2011 to 2013, they have a rate of $46.95 \%$ in math and literacy. And then they also -- this past February they also still have the application of academic distress based on the scores from the last three-year period. Kendra is here to sort of explain the parts the meeting.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Clay, if you could also explain the hearing procedures.

MS. CLAY: Sure. 6-23-105 allows the
authorizers to place a public charter school on probation, modify, revoke or deny renewal of the charter, if the authorizer determines that the persons operating the public charter school -- and it lists four different criteria. The one that we're dealing with today is the one I'll read to you, and that's if they fail to meet academic or fiscal performance criteria deemed appropriate and relevant for the public charter school by the authorizer. Any action that you take under this section should be based on the best interest of the public charter school students, the severity of the violation, and any previous violation the public charter school may have committed. So that's the overall statutory scheme that got us to this point. Your charter school rules have varying procedures for how this hearing will go. It's similar to the previous hearing that you had, but it is a little bit different. First, all persons, with the exception of attorneys, need to be sworn by -- sworn in before they give testimony. The procedures call for $A D E$ staff to present for 20 minutes on basically the reasons for you to take action. The ADE staff is not going to do that today. We do not have any sort of recommendation. You see the information in your
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packet. There are staff members here to answer questions, if needed, but that part of the hearing procedure you can just skip over. So after the ADE staff portion, the procedures call for the Charter to have 20 minutes to present its case regarding any action that you could or could not take. After that, you will have time for a discussion and questions for the charter school or $A D E$ staff, and then you may issue a final decision today or take the matter under advisement until a future scheduled meeting. One thing that I want to make sure you understand is that there is nothing that requires you to take action today. It's discretionary whether you take any action and also discretionary on what action you take as far as probation, modification or revocation of the charter. Do y'all have any questions about the procedures or why we're here today? Okay.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. It looks like we'll go ahead and those that are not attorneys that will be speaking today at the hearing, if you would please stand and raise your right hand to be sworn in.

MS. HOGUE: And I want to introduce you to Dr . Valerie Tatum, who is the original speaker, and then she'll introduce the rest of them.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. All right. Do you
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swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Okay. So I understand we're -- we won't have any presentation from Staff, so we'll move right into Ms. Tatum's. If you want, you can go ahead and get started.

DR. TATUM: Good morning to everyone on the Charter board. I apologize for my voice; the allergies and everything this season just always pretty much messes me up. But we're prepared to present to you all of the awesome things that's going on at Covenant Keepers and I have other professional staff that can speak to certain information, such as the data, the rollout of the curriculum, as well as the school culture, and many other great things that's going on. So we do have a PowerPoint that we would like to present to you.

Just a little update about who we are: seven years of operating at Southwest Little Rock, serving a high needs population. Every year our free-andreduced lunch has really increased; we're this year about $92 \%$; $60 \%$ are African American; $40 \%$ Hispanic; $23 \%$ ELL; and we have $37 \%$ of highly mobile children that are entering our building each and every year.

Where our students come from: about 5\% of those students already have -- $5 \%$ of the students come from ALE; 7\% are first-year in the United States; 25\% are priority schools; $30 \%$ come from focus schools; and 33 from other achieving, Needs Improvement and other charter schools, or maybe even out of the state. If you really hone in on some of the obstacles that we have made opportunities for us, just to recap: first year 7\%; ALE is 5\%; priority school is 25. But the overall population of our kids, where they're coming from, is $67 \%$ of what we deal with this particular year. When students enroll with us, $72 \%$ of our students arrive at 5 th grade level or below in reading. I want to say that again: $72 \%$ of our students arrive at 5 th grade or below in reading. Now in math, 70\% -- 76\% of our students arrive at 5 th grade level or below in mathematics. This year we've had a great experience with many of the children coming from different areas that we've already mentioned, but this year we've seen the greatest influx our third quarter. We've had referrals coming from local school leaders, head of schools, with many of the three big districts. We've had behavior interventionists reaching out, talking to us about our program and really looking for the right fit --
again, coming from the three big districts. And then we've had a huge parent referral this year. We've had many parents just speaking to us about a lot of the needs that many of the districts could not really take care of, so we have a unique situation at Covenant Keepers that has allowed us to really help many of the kids. And, finally, we've enrolled 40 students during the third quarter this year alone. Parent satisfaction, we are always proactive with our community and stakeholders. We want to know how they feel about us. So we did a survey which polls and indicates that $82 \%$ of our parents are totally satisfied with us. As we went into the community year after year, we discovered that in Southwest Little Rock, where we are strategically placed, where we want to be, $86 \%$ name recognition, satisfied with us, and $88 \%$ have given us positive feedback about what we're doing and how we're performing out in Southwest Little Rock. This year we tried to reach out to a APSRC, which has been phenomenal consulting leadership coaches that have really, really worked with us. We approached them prior to the first -- in 2013; they were not able to work with us, but they did sign a contract with us. And so it was very unique for us to go through book studies and this is
one particular book that we really studied and it became a part of how we began to really look at our school. And so this book study is Good to Great by Jim Collins, and that has really rolled out some of the things that we'll talk about later. The growth mindset: regardless of where they are, our children, academically, when they come to us, if we have the opportunity to serve students from year to year, or more than one year, we see tremendous growth. And so with that being said I would like for Ms. Jones to come, our Data PLL Coordinator, and she'll give you more.

MS. JONES: Good morning. Covenant Keepers serves a highly mobile population of students.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ma'am, if you could just state your name.

MS. JONES: Okay. Jenna Jones.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
MS. JONES: Covenant Keepers serves a highly mobile population of students. For example, $37 \%$ of our students that were at Covenant Keepers last year came to us after October 1. We have the opportunity to serve students, and we wanted to find out that whenever we had the opportunity to serve students for one or more years whether we saw academic growth.

Using the NWEA MAP assessment data, we wanted to determine the average point growth of students who have remained with CK for one full school year or more. And we determined that the growth average of long-term students meet or exceed typical growth nationwide on the reading and math NWEA MAP assessments. For example, with our 7 th grade cohorts, these are students that were with us in 6 th grade and are now with us in 7th grade. Their growth average was 11.11 points, while typical growth nationwide is only 6 points; $65.7 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded typical growth for reading. With math, with the same group, their growth average was 10.77 points, while typical growth is only 9 points; $48.6 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded typical growth for math. The next group is our 8th grade cohort that has been with us from 7th grade into 8th grade. They've been with us for one full school year. In reading, their growth average was 13.29 points, while typical growth expected nationwide of only 5 points; $88.2 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded typical growth for reading. And the same group in math, their growth average was 10.35 points, while typical growth nationwide was 7 points; $64.7 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded
typical growth for math. And the last group I want to present is our 8th grade group that's been with us from 6th grade all the way into 8th grade. Their reading growth average was 14.89 points, while typical growth expected is only 9 points; $75 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded typical growth for reading. And in math, this cohort's growth average was 19.35 points -- . 38 points. The typical growth is only 13 points expected. $75 \%$ of students in this cohort exceeded typical growth for math. We also wanted to determine the percentage of long-term students, the definition of "long-term" being students that remain at Covenant Keepers for a period longer than one school year. We determined that the majority of these long-term students were the students that were scoring proficient on the NWEA MAP assessment. With our 7 th grade reading proficiency, $63 \%$ of 7 th graders that scored proficient on the NWEA MAP assessment in reading were those long-term students, and a full $100 \%$ of 7 th graders who scored proficient on the math assessment in 7 th grade were long-term students. With our 8th graders, 75\% of 8th grade students who scored proficient in reading on the MAP assessments were long-term students. And in math, those 8 th grade students that were long-term,
$66 \%$ of students that scored proficient school-wide were those long-term students. We also wanted to determine the percentage of long-term students among those who had their growth goal on the winter NWEA MAP assessment. Students are given grown goals from NWEA's research team and they're individualized based on where the students are at this point. We determined that the majority of students that were meeting those growth goals were our long-term students. $82.1 \%$ of 7 th grade students who met or exceeded those growth goals were our long-term students for reading. And $67.9 \%$ of those 7 th grade students who exceeded their growth goal in math were long-term. For 8 th grade reading, $85.7 \%$ of those 8 th graders that met or exceeded their growth goals were long-term students. And with 8 th grade math, $68.8 \%$ of those students who scored proficient were longterm students. How have our students been able to show this much growth? Our curriculum coordinator, Laurette Whipps, will elaborate on that adoptive curriculum and our classroom strategy.

MS. WHIPPS: Good morning. My name is Laurette Whipps. I'm just going to share a little bit about our curriculum that we've been using this year that's really helped our students and helped our teachers.

With the push to Common Core, one of the biggest issues that we recognized was that our teachers, despite professional development, did not have any proper experience with it, could not push the rigor that needed to be pushed forward to our students. Same with our students; if they weren't getting the rigor, then how were we expecting them to progress. So last year we faced those ugly truths and sat and we thought and researched to find a curriculum that would push Common Core out, and we decided on Expeditionary Learning for English and Engaging New York for math. With this curriculum, as Ms. Jones has shared, we found a rigor being pushed forward in the classroom with the teachers. And this rigor caused comprehension to -- in students to -- it caused students to gain comprehension, more comprehension in those critical thinking skills, which really helped them on our NWEA status, and you see that in growth. Which they were doing in the past, but it's just becoming more easy for them to do now because they have the proper rigor needed in order to move forward. To accommodate our curriculum and for our teachers, we implemented a new lesson planning scheme as well called the NAG; it is Jane Pollack created. It's, of course, our curriculum
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$100 \%$. It goes through five stages of planning tools and it also uses a research-based horizontal, high yield strategy. To accommodate the NAG with our students, we used the interactive notebooks, and the interactive notebooks laid out in the NAG to a T. In the beginning, students are setting their goals. This is where they're identifying what they're going to learn for the day and then they're able to interact with that goal. It's just for their own understanding, so that it's not just information that's being thrown at them. The next step is accessing their prior knowledge. Here, they're pulling in things that they've used in the past to apply to whatever they're getting ready to learn for the day and it also gets their brains firing for the lesson. The next step in the NAG is reading the information and this is where the teacher, based on the goal that they are being given, lays out in the students' interactive notebook tools for them to use to help them access this new information.

Moving on to applying -- the students are able to apply within the interactive notebook and this is just some assessments for the teachers to look at to say, "Yes, my student has this," or, "In my future planning I need to do more in this particular area."
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It applies standards for the students as well. The last step is that students generalize. This is where they explain what they have learned for the day from their teacher -- and again, another way for the teacher to assess them, to see if they understood $100 \%$ what she or he was teaching for the day.

Ms. Jones is going to come up and show what we do when students aren't getting this information and the interventions that we put in place for students who are not getting it or who have surpassed this information.

MS. JONES: At Covenant Keepers, our English language -- English learner population is 23\% of our student body. Once a student is determined to be an English language learner, our LPAC determines what appropriate interventions are needed and we determine their needs based on assessment data and based on what teachers have told us about what they recognized in their classrooms. Our English learners are given the following interventions as needed: we have a specialized Plus Time during the day where they receive support from an ESL endorsed teacher who assists them in English language development and helps make connections from what they're learning in Plus Time and what they're learning in their other
classes. Students are also provided with Rosetta Stone accounts and they're able to access that at school during specific times and also access that in the home. We have an afterschool tutoring program, both in literacy and math, for those English language learners who need assistance in those skills, and teachers are given consistent ongoing professional development from that ESL endorsed teacher. When we received our recommendations from the academic distress team at $A D E$, it was a major wakeup call for us. Seven of the nine recommendations dealt with analyzing individual student data and providing appropriate interventions to those kids who were struggling. Because of our recommendations, Covenant Keepers has implemented a school-wide weekly format assessment strategy that cycles each week -- and you can see the flowchart that we've created. It allows us to pinpoint student needs and we have standardsbased interventions we put in place for student who are falling behind or are not getting what they need specifically on student standards. Monday is our assessment day, and teachers participate in those weekly common formative assessments on Monday; Tuesdays are used to grade the assessments; and then Wednesday, feedback is given to the students in the
classrooms to walk through "why was this incorrect" and "what can we do to make sure that we can understand the standards fully." We have a ELC after school to analyze the data trends from the assessments and teachers complete a standards tracking tool that has data for each of their classes. Thursdays, we hold another ELC, which is specifically for intervention decision-making, where we determine which kids should be red flagged and placed into appropriate programs or given specific interventions during Plus Time or in the regular classroom. And teachers talk about adjusting their instruction based on how students scored that week. Fridays are set aside for teacher collaboration and planning together. Our school aims to help students practice metacognition. We really want them to think about their thinking in practical settings. So that's why we have two different kinds of data talks during the school year. We have NWEA data talks before and after each NWEA session. Students meet with their math or their ELA teacher to complete a goal-setting worksheet, where they look at their scores from their previous test and talk about what they're going to do to make sure that they meet their growth goal on the next test. This can be something
as simple as spending 30 minutes each day or working in our Reading Plus program that we provide for the students. It could be reading or learning five new vocabulary words a week, but the students set their own rules. After the NWEA assessment, they go back to that goal-setting worksheet with their teacher and say, "I did meet my growth goal and here's why" or, "I didn't meet my growth goal. What can I do to make sure that $I$ meet it next time?" We also have student life proficiency data talks, which really focuses on student portfolios. The student sits down with the teacher or a member of the leadership team and they walk through the work that they have produced from their classes, and the students are able to say, "This is what proficiency looks like," and "This is how I know I'm going to be proficient," or that "I'm working toward proficiency." And by signing a conference form, the student and the teacher and a leadership team, they all commit to making sure that the student is moving toward proficiency.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Jones, you have about two minutes left.

MS. JONES: Okay. Dr. Tatum is going to wrap it up for today.

DR. TATUM: In closing, one thing that we really
had to go back and look at, at the beginning of last year and moving into this year, we really had to have a school culture mindset. We began to really focus on the culture of the school and that has made a tremendous difference. Because even with the severe needs of some of the kids that we've had there in the building, we had to really develop two different pieces. And one was we already had the new demerit system that we put in place, and then we had to bring on another individual, which is our Dean of Student Affairs, and that particular piece is to really work with kids that were really challenged, maybe a mental health individual. So, the culture really had to be dealt with in order for the curriculum to really go about as it should have, and that has been done.

In closing, we just want to show you from the voices within and who counts every day, our parents and our teachers.
(SHOWING VIDEO)
DR. TATUM: And I'll say this, from John Maxwell, "A man must be big enough to admit his mistakes, smart enough to proffer them, and strong enough to correct them." We're prepared to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Dr. Tatum. All
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right. We will now move into the question and discussion time, and we'll start over here with Ms. Coffman.

MS. COFFMAN: Good morning.
DR. TATUM: Good morning.
MS. COFFMAN: Dr. Tatum, thank you for your passion for these kids. I have just a couple of questions, and I think actually I'll address them to you and then if you have other staff you want to answer.

DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am.
MS. COFFMAN: In your presentation, you gave a lot of weight to the results of your NWEA assessments. And so I'm very interested in, did your NWEA assessments accurately predict the students that would be proficient on the state assessment?

MS. WHIPPS: Thank you. The reason we used NWEA is because, as there currently isn't a proficiency model or assessment that we're using, like the ACTAAP -- we're doing PARCC this year -- we wanted to use a nationally normed test that has a lot of research behind it, that has scores from students all over the nation that shows where the proficiency is. And as we are very focused on our growth model this year, as you saw, many of our students come to us many grade
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levels behind, and so our goal is to help them grow two or three grade levels. However, they may not be proficient for some of those students. So we're really focused on a growth model for this year and having many of our students meet their growth goals set by NWEA. And our students are making that progress to meet their growth goals for the spring assessment.

MS. COFFMAN: I want to restate my question. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. MS. COFFMAN: Is it Ms. Whipps? MS. WHIPPS: Ms. Whipps? MS. COFFMAN: No, I'm sorry, what's your name? MS. JONES: Ms. Jones. MS. COFFMAN: Ms. Jones. MS. JONES: Yes. MS. COFFMAN: Let me restate my question. My question was: does the NWEA accurately predict the students that will be proficient on the state assessment?

MS. JONES: Yes, ma'am, I believe it does, because it is Common Core based.

MS. COFFMAN: Okay. So, you knew at winter, when you gave the NWEA assessment, that half of your kids were not proficient; you knew that then?

MS. JONES: Yes, ma'am.
MS. COFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Jones, so was the -this isn't the first year y'all have used NWEA. You used it last year for the 2014 assessment. Is that correct?

MS. JONES: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Dr. Saunders.
DR. SAUNDERS: Good morning.
MS. JONES: Good morning.
DR. SAUNDERS: First off, I wanted to look at some of the information you presented, some of the bar graphs, if you would. So just taking any one of those as an example -- first of all, in the comparisons to the national averages, did you run any significant tests on those, do you know?

MS. JONES: Any significant test on the national -- compared to the national average?

DR. SAUNDERS: Yes, ma'am.
MS. JONES: That would have been the proficiency data.

DR. SAUNDERS: Did you run any test for statistical significance on the difference though, is where I was going.

MS. JONES: I'm not sure.

DR. SAUNDERS: Okay.
MS. JONES: I mean, I'm sorry.
DR. SAUNDERS: That's okay. No, that's okay. I was just curious if you did and what the results were on that. And I noticed that in the title of these they're listed as cohorts. So, is that just looking at what you later referenced as the long-term students or is that for the entire 8 th grade class? MS. JONES: We looked at the entire 8 th grade class, but the specific group we were looking at -because if we're trying to show growth, the students have to take multiple assessments so that we can track that. So whenever the bar graphs were showing whether students had met their growth goals or how many points they were growing within a period of time, we could only show the students that have been with us. Some of the students -- the schools that the students were coming from, they don't use NWEA. So we have the scores that we had of students that have tested with our school.

DR. SAUNDERS: So it probably wouldn't be reflective of the long-term students. Is that correct?

MS. JONES: Yes, sir.
DR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Did you have -- I noticed,
looking at these graphs in performance, that all these are tied to the MAP test, the NWEA test. Did you have any similar comparisons on benchmark ACTAAP for years past?

MS. JONES: We have used NWEA in years past, and because benchmark last year was based on Arkansas standards and because Common Core has been based -I'm sorry -- NWEA has been based in Common Core for the last three years, they were measuring different skills and were measuring different standards. And so we didn't think it would be an accurate comparison actually on how students were scoring on the NWEA, based on how they were scoring on the ACTAAP.

DR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, I agree with you on that. I mean, similar data that would be -- a similar graph that would be reflective purely of ACTAAP scores in comparison to other groups as state averages. Any analysis on that in years past, how your students individually to those cohorts perform in comparison to state averages?

MS. JONES: I'm not sure what -- I'm sorry, I'm not sure --

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think what Dr. Saunders is asking is, some of the charts that you had there reference that -- you used the example -- I think one
of them said that $66 \%$ of 8 th graders that were longterm students scored proficient according to the NWEA MAP test. And so what he's asking is that did you do any kind of analysis on ACTAAP scores to see how many long-term students were proficient in comparison with the state average?

DR. TATUM: No, we didn't necessarily do that. We've done that in the past, but we don't have that information to present. We did that in the -- on the appeals, our renewal; we presented all of that information where we did go back and looked at the ACTAAP frameworks. But now we -- as Ms. Jones said, we wanted to roll out the Common Core, which is totally where the NWEA is, and so that's where we begin to find out where we are as a school.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So would you expect that -if this is an accurate predictor, you expect that your scores should be up based on the PAARC assessment?

DR. TATUM: Yes, we suspect that. Of course, we just took the PAARC, so we don't know what it's going to roll out and look like. However, as Ms. Jones mentioned, we wanted all of our children to experience a level of entry, and so that's where we begin to hone in on the growth model. Because when
we began to look at the Arkansas frameworks, we couldn't really identify -- well, we could identify where our kids were, but now as we rolled out NWEA we were able to look at each title individually to find out where they are according to the Common Core state standards.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Decker.
DR. DECKER: Thank you for your presentation. I certainly appreciate the enthusiasm and also the analysis that's been done thus far with the data. I do have kind of a continuing line of questions regarding assessment data. This might be best for Ms. Jones or Dr. Tatum, whomever is appropriate. So my first question here: do you believe the 2012, 2013 and 2014 ESEA reports are an accurate representation of the school's performance?

DR. TATUM: I do not.
DR. DECKER: Okay. Could you explain why?
DR. TATUM: When we looked at 2012, in starting our middle school, there were so many internal things that we had to really deal with. When we started 2012, ending that year, going into the 2013, at the middle school, that was totally again a reset year of where we started back in 2008. And so at the middle school, we were able -- 2008, the first three years
as a middle school, we really began to look at our growth and we saw it moving in the right direction. Once we brought on the high school, at 10th grade, we began to deal with a different type of energy, and that energy caused some adverse things to happen in our middle school. So, Dr. Decker, that's when we began to really go back, ask the Board -- the State Board of Education -- to remove our high school, because we could really look at again our middle school and really begin to track the growth in the right direction. So, the three years -- looking at last year, we look at that as a reset year. As I said, we had to really deal with a lot of the culture, kids coming in to us. Again, we had maybe -- and I don't really have the data, so I don't want to project something -- but many of our kids were highly mobile and they were new to our school. And that's what we're finding happened every single year; it's dealing with high mobility, with the high mobility of kids that's coming into our school. So, at this point we cannot shy away from being an ALE or a look of an ALE school. That's something that we've had to come into embracing, where we are in Southwest Little Rock. We've made a decision to be there; that's where we want to be. We strategically placed
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our self there. So when we look at the last three years, $I$ don't believe that's an accurate report. DR. DECKER: And maybe a follow-up. So I'm looking for ways to root for what you're doing -DR. TATUM: Yes.

DR. DECKER: -- in this. I'm also a data guy. DR. TATUM: Yes.

DR. DECKER: And what I'm struggling with is -that's the kind of the line of thought I was initially going with. But my understanding of the ESEA reports is they remove highly mobile students from the calculations that's there. So when we're looking at ESEA, I believe we are looking at the students that were at the school on or before October 1 of that school year, that was there.

DR. TATUM: Well, we looked at -- if you look at our school, most of our school is a TAGG group, and so that TAGG is a whole different animal. So, again, if we look at that and go back and look at the ESEA reports, there was one year that we were achieving. And so the year that we went off of the achieving is, that's when our high school began to really cause us some problems and that was with high turnover of teachers and so many other things that began to go on. But, yes, it takes out the highly mobile, but
when you look at the ESEA report, if you go and you look at all of those TAGG areas, Dr. Decker, that's pretty much our whole school.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Let me get a clarification. I just want to make sure terminology reason is correct. The "highly mobile," I think I see it as two definitions. So the highly mobile that Dr . Decker is referring to is accurate, but the one that you're -- when you say "highly mobile," are you meaning that they were there one full school year and maybe not the previous year before or the next year after?

DR. TATUM: That is correct. Or --
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So those students would be in your testing group or wouldn't be pulled out of the ESEA? I think it's a terminology thing. When he says "highly mobile," it means somebody that was in and out of your school during the course of one year. What you're talking about "highly mobile" as being, they weren't -- might not have been there in 2012, but they were in 2013, and then gone in 2014?

DR. TATUM: Or didn't complete the whole year with us. We've had -- definitely our ELL population, some of those don't even complete the year with us.

DR. DECKER: Just a couple more questions. So
do you have statistics on the number of students who -- or the percent of students who enter and then leave your school prior to exiting 8th grade?

DR. TATUM: Yes, sir. We do have those statistics. We are data individuals, as well, so we look at things like that and to just see how many kids are in and out, how many of our kids are highly mobile in one year, as Ms. Jones beautifully presented, the long-term kids. So we have pulled all of that different type of data too.

DR. DECKER: And if I may, can you give me an approximation of that number? I'll take a percentage.

DR. TATUM: Approximation of what number?
DR. DECKER: The students who exit the charter prior to finishing 8th grade.

DR. TATUM: October 1 is -- many students are there before October 1, then leave, and then come back before testing, so they are highly mobile. Yes. So when I -- I can't really say about how many or a percentage. Do you want me to guess?

DR. DECKER: Well, that's okay. I was looking for an answer, but I think I'll reserve my next round of questions.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Barnes.
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MS. BARNES: Good morning.
DR. TATUM: Good morning.
MS. BARNES: I thank you again, Dr. Tatum, for the presentation, along with the staff of the school. I, like my colleagues, am a little concerned with respect to as we talk about over the long-term. And given the challenges that -- I believe in the PowerPoint you had so appropriately crossed out obstacles and turned it into opportunities.

DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am.
MS. BARNES: So I understand that the vision of the school is to provide avenues for students who may or may not be successful elsewhere. It's also to allow for a change.

DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am.
MS. BARNES: I think in response to Ms. Coffman's question with respect to was the, you know, school aware at the beginning of the year, given that they were using the NWEA assessments, how the students would be performing and the approximate percentage that would be proficient, and with the NWEA being aligned to Common Core. So I'm taking into consideration all of the responses as 1 also reflect on the ESEA designation as not truly being an accurate reflection of the school. I believe that's
what the question was, did you believe the ESEA reports are an accurate reflection of the school's performance. So I'm a little concerned because I too, like Dr. Decker, I was trying to determine about how many of these students began at the 6 th grade and followed through through the 8th grade. Because I was looking at the three-year performance with the math and literacy and it went from 50.4 in the 2012 year to 43.1 last year. So even given with highly mobile, those who are in the school, out of school, back in the school, those who have been removed -because it's based on non-mobile students -- from those that were continuously enrolled, at least October 1 through the first day of testing. So I'm a little torn in how to understand. I guess I'm saying all of this to see if you can help me understand -DR. TATUM: I can.

MS. BARNES: -- what's different based on where you are and what we can see when we're looking at indicators of performance. Can you help me with that?

DR. TATUM: I can. When we started our middle school, and we did look at the data, we had $67 \%$-- or 67 children return to Covenant Keepers. We ended up with as high as 196 kids. And then our average
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quarter was 171. And so that was a lot of new children that entered our building the first year. Every year now, which this is our second year, we have found that the number of students staying on with us has increased, and that's been about a hundred and maybe 37 -- anywhere from 127 to maybe 137 kids that's remaining with us. And so when I go back and I look at the new year as a middle school, again, we had just a marginal amount of kids that remained with us. Of course, all of the 8 th grade went to high school, so we do have a large number of kids that are entering our building every single year.

MS. JONES: I've got some supporting data that may help to address the student percentage, the number of students that have stayed with us and have found success by staying at Covenant Keepers. The 8th grade cohort that we presented, that has been with us from the fall of 2012 to our winter of 2015. We had eight students from 8th grade that have been with us since 6 th grade, all the way through 8 th grade. Eight students. Meanwhile, our 7 th grade kids that have stayed with us from 6th grade all the way into 7 th grade, we have 35 students that have been with us from the fall of 2013 to the winter of
2015. So just from those numbers you can see that we are building a capacity of students that are staying on at Covenant Keepers. And that's what we want to see, students that can stay with us from 6 th grade through 8th grade so that they can see that growth. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Coffman. MS. COFFMAN: Thank you. I have just a couple of things that I'd like to put out on the record, so if you'll indulge me. One, I appreciate that the population is moving in and out of your school. Talk to us a little bit about your parent outreach to help to stabilize that.

DR. TATUM: What our parent outreach looks like, as we start in the middle school, we begin in December or at the beginning of the year. We begin to reach out and do parent surveys -- what would they like to see, what does the curriculum look like, and even our mental health organization. So we do the same every year. We begin to ask parents to return and why they should return, and so that's a very intimate meeting; we sit down with parents. And we also do a re-enrollment form, just as speculation to see how many parents are still going to come back to us and hold those particular seats. And last year -and this year we already have started and done the
process, and that's a huge number that's going to return to us. I will say with what I've looked at and everything that's about $80 \%$ of our kids that will be back in our building this year. One thing that we did find that parents really shied away from us in the beginning because of our high school. And so many times, in the building we were in, parents didn't want their middle school kid along with the high school children, so that was a little challenge for us as well. But we have a lot of children that's going to return to us and parents have confirmed that.

MS. COFFMAN: And the parents that have confirmed that their children will not return, are they -- is there any summary data or are they anecdotally telling you why?

DR. TATUM: Yes. And that's -- Ms. Coffman, we want to know that and so -- some are moving out of town, out of state; we have some health issues where some of our ELLs may have to go back home. And so -and really, some are just looking for a different type of extracurricular, that maybe their children can play a little more maybe basketball, football -extracurricular activities that we do not offer. MS. COFFMAN: Okay. Thank you. That was
helpful. Another question that $I$ have is -- I appreciate that you addressed your core instruction and looked at your curriculum; you're making sure that it's being implemented in the classroom. And then you've talked a little bit about your Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions, so I'm not -- you've already described your programs.

DR. TATUM: Um-huh.
MS. COFFMAN: What I'm really more interested in is how you're using your time. So if a child were to have Tier 2 or Tier 3 intervention, how is the time allotted for them? What's adjusted for them? That's my first part of the question. And then -- then, because of the interventions, what is the trajectory for those kids to be on grade level?

DR. TATUM: Okay.
MS. COFFMAN: So if it's not going to happen in one year, is it going to happen -- considering that if they stayed in your school building 6th, 7 th and 8th grade, is the trajectory such that when they left you, assuming they stayed --

DR. TATUM: Right.
MS. COFFMAN: -- would they be on grade level?
DR. TATUM: Yes. And Ms. Whipps, she can help you with that question.

MS. WHIPPS: We wanted to allow time for students who were progressing in our curriculum or who were behind in our curriculum, so we set aside in the middle of the day a tutoring time called Plus Time. Because when we call something "tutoring," the students don't take it serious. So we made it an actual class time where students go in, according to their NWEA data, where they fall in their (inaudible), and according to what their cards tells us. And teachers -- students get interventions from different teachers in different areas for math tutoring. If a student is exceeding, then they have computer time during that time where they work on those programs. So that's 60 minutes a day that they have allotted in our schedule for that -- or actually, about 55 minutes a day that we have allotted in our schedule. We do it right after lunch, so that's a time for them to do their refresher and then return to class after that period. MS. COFFMAN: May I follow-up?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
MS. COFFMAN: So the second part of my question is: then, if you have a great number of students that are doing literacy and math below 5th grade level, and you need to get them to 8th grade by your end of
the time with them, then is -- what is your -- how are you looking at that so that their trajectory is -- or how are you increasing their intervention if 60 minutes is not enough to get them there?

MS. WHIPPS: I'm sorry. Let me clarify something for you. Math and literacy are both 90 minutes a day; every student gets 90 minutes. And what we do -- because Expeditionary Learning is a rigorous curriculum. What we do is take the first half of class -- and our teachers do it different ways; it depends on how it works for them -- but we will remediate with our interactive notebooks. We build our interactive notebooks on the particular tool or skill that the student will be using in Expeditionary Learning and Engaging New York, and it makes it easier for us to teach the lesson, because you do a big background builder for them. And that 90 minutes gives us so much time to do so many different things with our students, interventions within the classroom, so that we can have PLC meetings and we talk to our teachers about Plus Time, the things that now we can pass on to them, because we won't have a whole class who's failing. We might have three people -- three kids who are not doing too well in a particular area, and we can say to those

## Linda Parker Court Reporting

Plus Time teachers, you know, "You have these kids; this is what we want you to do with them when they go into Plus Time." We've looked at the cards and we've sat down with PLCs and we find that this extra time is really helpful for us, not only during school, but we also do tutoring after school with students who are still not getting it during Plus Time. So we have extended day, which is great for us, because we can do so many extra things with our students.

DR. TATUM: Ms. Coffman, I think it's also important to understand, as Ms. Jones was talking about, every single week there's a process that children are doing. Reading Plus is a computer-based computer program, which DeQueen had great success with that, especially with their ELLs; Kahn Academy for the very enriched kids that we have; GT, we push them. And also every week we are having data talks. Now mind you, NWEA is not just one kind of snapshot and this is the data. This is a monitored time. We start in the summer. We monitor all of our kids, where there are. You have a huge data wall that sits around and we reward them. And so each card shows different -- four increments, and so we're looking at the growth and so we're able to track that. And so Monday -- on Thursdays, they're given an assessment;

Fridays, teachers are talking about it. There's a whole other process that's going on. We're having data talks with our kids so they will know that they are on-point and moving towards proficiency. So that is how we are really working with children, 5th grade and below, because it's not just a school, a teacher; it's parents involved, it's our board involved. So this whole process is really helping us to really streamline and really look at individual students to make sure that they're on target to meet their growth goals.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Saunders.
DR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I want to commend y'all on the focus on the data and trying to react to the students' needs and everything. I just think that's definitely crucial and very important to where you need to be. My first question was: when did you start to receive correspondence or interaction, if you would, with the State Department?

DR. TATUM: We started -- are you talking about the recommendation or when we received the letter?

DR. SAUNDERS: Yes.
DR. TATUM: Okay. We got them in October. We were proactive to call the State Department in because it was serious for us to really know, along
with our service providers, the direction we were going to take. And so with that, Covenant Keepers has been very proactive in working through the recommendations and building a plan that works for our kids, that works for our parents, that works for our community.

DR. SAUNDERS: And I understand, if I hear correctly -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- but you were saying that a lot of these recommendations and responses, if you would, have been data focused, focused on that stuff. And my concern with that is, in looking at past performance, a person proficient and advanced in math and literacy in 2012 was 45.1.

DR. TATUM: Um-huh.
DR. SAUNDERS: '13, 43.6; '14, 43.1. To me, attention should have been given to those numbers prior to October of 2014, and actions taken. So why -- I guess my question is: why did it take the interaction from the State Department before these procedures were set forth?

DR. TATUM: No, sir. We were -- we were mentioning it then, but we had already had some things in place. We also said that about -- we only had about 60 -something kids that returned to us and all the rest of the population was very new as we
returned to a middle school, so it was a re-starting year. And so we've never really looked at data the way that we have this year. We had to deal with the culture of the school last year and re-starting. That was really huge for us, Dr. Saunders. And so this year, moving forward, and recognizing as a middle school that we were then going in a wrong direction, we began to clean that up immediately, this year, moving forward. We had already started before the Department came in. We had already gone to APSRC and began to ask them about how do we move forward, and we began to work with children in looking at data. So it did not take the Department to come in and tell us what to do; we were already there. And so with the recommendations -- I definitely want to commend the Department, Dr. Wilde and his team. They asked such a profound question that really helped us streamline what we -- we know we are moving out of academic distress; we know that for sure. Dr. Wilde, he asked us, and Roxy Browning, "How do you know? How do you know your children are moving toward sufficiency?" That has been the question, as the instructional leader, I ask my teachers, I ask my leadership team, and I ask my students. And, Dr. Saunders, if you came to our

Covenant Keepers, they will be able to tell you how they're moving from both growth into proficiency. That's all I've been talking about as an instructional leader and making sure that everyone on this leadership team that has presented today, everyone in reading that book, "Good to Great" -- not only does it mean people ride on the bus; it means, as Jim Collins says, having the right people in the right seats on the bus. That is what has made the change happen at Covenant Keepers this year. We're moving from academic distress, I guarantee you.

DR. SAUNDERS: Okay. One other question. What can you tell me -- what opportunities, educational opportunities, are available at Covenant Keepers that are not available at traditional schools?

DR. TATUM: One opportunity, as a school leader with Little Rock, as a math coach, a lot of my time is spent working with kids. One opportunity is being able to decide whether a child gets the demerit system, a demerit point, or does a child need to go into a mental health piece that's part of -- because we can't really teach children if they're having mental health issues. That has been the beauty of Covenant for many years. Last year, we had a parttime individual there, but this year we needed a
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full-time organization on board, Life Strategy. So when some of our children begin to act out -- we definitely can't say why because that's a breach of confidentiality -- but they're able to go to the mental health therapist right then. We have a lot of parents that come and they're there supporting us. So those are the different types of things that happen at Covenant. Also, the classrooms; classrooms are very engaging. The new curriculum has really brought on a new rigor for our children and for our teachers to think a whole new way. And the level of PD that we take our teachers through every given week -- those have made the change and those are the different things that are going on at Covenant, which is just a small margin of what's going on inside the district that I've experienced as the school leader. DR. SAUNDERS: I think my next question is for Ms. Jones. You had mentioned the afterschool intervention program for ELL students.

MS. JONES: Yes, sir.
DR. SAUNDERS: Is that only for ELL students or can other students attend?

MS. JONES: Other students can attend as well by request. Whenever we have parent nights we always open that up as an opportunity and make sure that
they know about the afterschool program that we have. We have a math teacher that's on campus that works with the students and we have a person that's on campus that actually was a former student at Covenant Keepers that came to the United States, couldn't speak English, and she was able to go through our ELL program, and through studying. And so she really knows where a lot of our students are coming from and she offers some support with their homework and with literacy help. And they can also use Rosetta instructor in that.

DR. SAUNDERS: So I see you have growth of $20 \%$ ELL students --

MS. JONES: Yes, sir.
DR. SAUNDERS: -- something in that range. What specific interactions just for them and to address their needs take place?

MS. JONES: As I mentioned, the Plus Time, where we're doing a lot of support with the literacy, especially where I'll work with the English teachers and we'll talk during our PLCs about student needs and things that they're seeing in the classroom. For example, I had a conversation with our English teachers that sometimes are -- Spanish speakers have issues with some types of figurative language,
because, you know, sometimes those idioms and things are a little difficult to grasp if English is your second language. And so using visuals and using conversation to help them practice and just what they're learning specifically in their English classroom. We also make sure that the teachers know best practices for supporting the English learners within their classrooms.

DR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you. MS. JONES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Tatum, we talk a lot about re-set years and a lot of in-flux and out-flux of students, and so my question is a little bit about your staff. What's the typical turnover rate for your teachers?

DR. TATUM: I'm glad you said it. As a middle school, we've had minimal turnover. A couple of positions this year was no fault of ours; it was maybe a family issue and then someone else just decided they wanted to get out of education all together. But it has been awesome. The quality, we have seventy -- I think about 73, 76\% of our teachers are licensed and the other ones are from nontraditional licensures or degrees. We've been very fortunate to work with Cara Smith; she's with
the TFA, Teach For America, and so there's a filter of teachers that come through us. Also, Dr. Ritter and Ben Brown with the Arkansas Teacher Corp, we've had success in getting teachers from there. So it's awesome to have such great teachers that are so passionate as I am to work with the kids.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Specifically your math and literacy teachers, is that -- is there turnover there or is that pretty stable?

DR. TATUM: It's pretty stable. We have Doctor -- we have a doctor of mathematics that's teaching, very strong, courageous, and has a special education endorsement. Our literacy teacher, Ms. Whipps, "The Bomb," sitting right here, she's been with us for so many years, and she rolls out and studies curriculum all through the summer and each and every day, and she has a lot of contacts with the interactive notebooks. And I also have to give thanks to Ms. Susan Owens that has really helped us to rollout this curriculum with integrity. So we're doing great things there at Covenant.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And this goes back to something with culture that was mentioned earlier. A lot of the programs that were put out there, like Engaging or the NWEA, some of the literacy programs,
what is the buy-in for teachers as far as -- is it something that's -- I feel like in dealing with these kids you obviously have to have a passion. You know, I've worked in inner-city New Orleans schools, working in districts that had high poverty and different numbers of students, and you almost have to have a -- I use the term "war room." You do go to war every day and you have to have a heart for that. And so the only way that I've seen clearly to do that is if it's a grassroots effort, coming from the bottom up, and saying, you know, "This is what we buy into to do -- to make it work successfully in dealing with these types of students." So my question is: your leadership team has done a great job of coming in and explaining some of this. But is it -- would you characterize it as a top-down approach or do your teachers truly buy-in and do some of these things that you're asking them to do?

DR. TATUM: Through our hiring process, we're so transparent; we allow different individuals to come in and to -- they don't just sit in a seat and state "you're hired." It may take two weeks or a week to be hired. They come in, they do a lesson plan, they sit with our teachers, have the intimate talk about what goes on every day and the hard work that takes
place. And so every teacher we have onboard this year, it's not forced; it's just rolled out. There are frustrations, of course. They certainly share those. But every year we're getting teachers that are committed to working with hard cases, children that are difficult to deal with. So it's not by force that the teachers are doing this; it's strictly because they are committed. They have heart and they have passion for our kids and they're rolling out the curriculum it takes.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
DR. TATUM: Oh, and the expert told me to tell you it was the teachers who pushed for the Engaging New York and the interactive notebooks. So that wasn't pushed.

DR. DECKER: I have a follow-up question regarding the NWEA assessment data -- or, Ms. Jones, you may be more appropriate. So we saw a comparison of students that was defined as long-term in the Charter and their growth compared to students nationally who took the NWEA. And in comparison to the national results, was there consideration for students short-term versus long-term and those results?

MS. JONES: The thing that's difficult to show
in short-term versus long-term -- because for measuring growth, the short -- you know, we don't have anything to measure if they're only taking one test or two tests at the school. To show growth, you have to see fall term to spring term, for an entire year.

DR. DECKER: And, Ms. Jones, what I'm getting at is, is I'm looking at the integrity of that comparison. And when we look at a cohort of students at the Charter, and we look at only those, and compare their growth versus national students who took the NWEA, I believe in the growth that we're looking at from the NWEA that's representative of all students. Would you agree?

MS. JONES: Those students are only -- it's only measured from students that have taken fall exams to the spring exams. If the student was at a school and took a winter test, their growth isn't going to be thrown into it. And let me just say that growth doesn't mean on grade level; it's just the NWEA's research team shows this is the expected growth from the fall assessment to a spring assessment.

DR. DECKER: Ms. Jones, here again -- let me ask it in a different way. In the national data from NWEA that was displayed, there are students
represented in that data that may not have been at their particular school for a year or more. Would you agree?

MS. JONES: Yes, sir.
DR. DECKER: So that's I guess one of my concerns when we're doing that comparison using NWEA data; we're looking at the full body of students that take the NWEA versus a subset of the students at the charter. Just a point of clarification. And then a second question, and then I'll quit after this for now, is there any data you can share with regard to attendance, discipline, course grades, some of the other indicators outside of just assessment data that can be shared?

DR. TATUM: Well, our attendance, in the last report we received, was like $96 \%$, and so our children believe in showing up. You asked about the attendance -- and what was the other one?

DR. DECKER: Discipline.
DR. TATUM: Discipline. Our IMOs, every time we meet during these different quarters, it shows that we are meeting our IMOs as far as discipline. So, again, we haven't in the past, but that's with us being proactive looking for something to keep kids in school. And so that demerit system allows kids to
get so many points, and so we give them the points, we're able to talk to them about the points, and it's just not suspicion and you go home. So we wanted to add a layer of success in there for our kids to stay in. And, again, it goes back on the heels, Dr. Decker, of having that mental health piece. I'm building something here for you; it's just not every day kids or whatever. It's just where a lot of these challenges come from where we could suspend quite a few of the kids, they stay at home, but now we're meeting our IMOs with the discipline. But we also add a layer of student affairs to deal with some of the character education, how to really build a culture in the school and the way to act, really. DR. DECKER: Okay. One more. You spoke, Dr. Tatum, earlier, about Dr . Wilde and his team posing that kind of very significant question, "How do you know that the number of students proficient and advanced is going to increase and the school is not going to be in academic distress?" So I guess I can't help myself but ask, what data do you use? What is that definitive piece or pieces that you look at to know that next year is perhaps a brighter story and so-forth than prior years?

DR. TATUM: Well, one thing, I had to start and
deal with putting everybody in the right seat, making sure that the leadership team really, really understood their responsibility to the children. From that point, rolling out a phenomenal data-driven school improvement plan that we began to do every day. This is how I know. Every day, I can randomly pull a child and the forms that we use to say "How do you know you're moving toward proficiency?" The child will tell you, "Well, I'm at a 201 on this written scale. I'm at a 201 , but this is what my teacher told me that I needed to do to gain three to five more points." And so when I get it from the voices of the kids and they understand their growth goal number and they know the gain of maybe one or two points getting them on grade level. And so they're thinking to themselves, "Oh, just two points?" They just don't really under -- well, they do understand just two points; that's easy. But that's a lot of information. One thing, Dr. Decker, is when you walk into our building -- I was a school leader, so $I$ can say this -- you don't see kids everywhere. When you walk into our building, you hear the echo of teachers teaching, talking, sitting with children individually, asking them that question, "How do you know that you're moving toward
proficiency?" All of those are huge wins for Covenant Keepers. We haven't had this experience on this level before. So everything we're doing now, that's what the State Department wants to know. I commend them for what they're doing for us; it's been awesome. That's what the State Board of Education wants to know -- but not only that, that's what our community and that's what our parents want to know, "What are you doing to move those kids?" Our parents can even tell you how we are moving kids toward proficiency. So with what we're doing, the plan we've rolled out with experts, as experts, we've got it; we know what we're doing. Many times, the school leaders would get so busy doing discipline, going to meetings, tired. I don't do that. I'm in my building just about every day. I'm talking with teachers. I'm a huge communicator. I love to talk to my teachers. Not only do I talk to them, I sit with them one-to-one because $I$ want to know what's going on in the classroom. The instructional leader makes the difference, and I make a difference at Covenant Keepers.

DR. DECKER: Thank you for your answer.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Barnes.
MS. BARNES: I'm trying to make sure I ask the
question in a way that you are able to answer, because I kind of need an answer.

DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am.
MS. BARNES: Have you had occasion to take a look at how students are performing once they leave your school? In particular, I think you -- you know -- those 6th to 8th graders who may have been able to stay with you and then exit to another school, have you had occasion to see how they are performing or have you done any type of data analysis on that?

DR. TATUM: I can remember the OEP report that was done and it did show that the children, when they leave us, they don't do as well. And so we wanted that report done and it did show once the children leave they don't do as well. I think it's also noteworthy to mention that we have a high return of kids that want to come back and get a seat, because parents find that once they leave some of the things that we've talked about are not controlled so they can learn. So we get a lot of returning students to us so they can continue to do well in our district. MS. BARNES: Okay. And then I was looking over some of the data that we collect with respect to discipline, and you've spoken to that. I did note that for the '13-14 year there were a few
disciplinary accounts. So can you -- I mean, I understand where the school is located. I taught many moons ago in the Little Rock Public Schools in Southwest Little Rock, so I'm very familiar with the demographics. I'm just curious as to what may or may not have attributed to this new discipline, because I didn't see any discipline type related of this nature in '12-13 or 11-12, but I did see some reports in '13-14. Can you kind of tell me a little bit about that? Because I commend you; based on what I was looking at in your attendance records, students are coming to school and you -- according to your data and ours, you have highly qualified individuals working with the students now and you're doing very well with that. Even in your percent tested, you have a very close correlation with the number of students who are expected to test and those who did test. So I'm trying to get a complete picture of what the learning culture really is in the school now that we have, it seems to me, the pieces to make this car go forward. And I commend you on your conviction that we are going to do better and we are going to see the progress and we are going to see the improvement in the methods and measurements that you've got in line. So I'm just a little curious as
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to what is going on with this latest school year, and have you seen like the few blips that I'm talking about in this sheet?

DR. TATUM: Going back to last year, we did not have a demerit system. We were still using a regular student rights and responsibility handbook. And we found at the re-set year -- and I call it the restart year -- I have to go there because it was a restart year -- we recognized the state of emergency that we were going in then, so we began to put in the demerit system. And again that demerit system has really been a huge win for our kids and our parents, and so we're more proactive through the individual that works the data student affairs piece. And that piece is where he's taken some of the children that are hard to teach, he has turned them into student leaders. And those leaders that come from ALC and other academies, they have built a program to where he has changed their mindset. So they have become the teacher's biggest advocate, making sure that the program is rolled out with integrity -- the curriculum. They go back to the student affairs individual and they have to take contract sheets to their teacher so many times during the week and that teacher must give them a grade, if you will. And
that has really been successful, helping us with the discipline piece. We didn't have that last year, so it was quite a bit. But this year, adding the layer of student leadership council, student source, student affairs, that has really done a lot of damage control, if you will. And so we just don't want to discipline children; we want them to stay in school -- but we also are responsible for building their character education as well.

MS BARNES: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If it's okay with the Panel, do we have anybody from our school improvement office that's been working with Covenant Keepers here? We don't. Okay. There's a question I would like to see -- if Dr. Wilde or somebody is available, I'd like to hear from them. I know that somebody referenced that APSRC has been working with the school district, so I might like to -- I know I have Ms. Cox and Ms. Smith signed up from APSRC here to speak today. Would it be possible to have them -DR. TATUM: I wanted, yes, for APSRC to actually come up and say something. Also, I'll come back with some closing remarks about Act 1272 that was passed that we're going to really look at, our situation or just schools in general that has an ALE and some of
the issues that we're having, that we're having to deal with. So, Officer Barbara, come on up -- APSRC. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Cox, were you sworn in? MS. COX: No.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You were not? Okay. I will swear you in. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MS. COX: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. MS. COX: Barbara Hunter Cox, Director of Teaching and Learning at the Arkansas Public School Resource Center.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And, Ms. Cox, kind of describe the records that y'all have been working with the school $I$ guess recently and just -- if you could just give kind of an overview of your thoughts in regards to what the progress has been.

MS. COX: APSRC has served as the external provider for Covenant Keepers for this academic year. Covenant Keepers, as Dr. Tatum stated, approached us two years ago about taking on that role, but at that time we did not feel that we had the coaching staff to provide the intensive delivery that they needed. As we taught this year with them, and in reviewing their progress as a charter school, we committed the
necessary resources to make sure that the external provider services were consistent. We've assigned two coaches to that campus. They are there weekly. They've been working on leadership and management issues, as well as all of the curricular changes that have occurred this year. NWEA was one of the areas that we assisted them in setting up a strategic plan on how data would be implemented down to the student level. Covenant Keepers has made dramatic change this year in every single area. I can't emphasize enough the time that we spent on campus. It's a different school. When you enter the campus, school is occurring, as Dr. Tatum said. They have had put in place a behavior management system. They've put in place a structure data analysis that truly drives their instruction. Our coaching staff takes the direction from the Covenant leadership team of what professional development they want and what areas their teachers need to be provided direct PD. We personalize the professional development plan for that particular campus. The two coaches are here today and they can answer any questions you might have. We do coaching reports weekly that are turned in to ADE and the school improvement office. And overall, the focus is now on instruction, and I think

Covenant has given you several examples.
I would like to address, since no question was directly sent to me, about NWEA. NWEA, of course, is a nationally formed test, and so when you pull out a subgroup you are comparing them to national students. And so although the growth may be small, we do believe that the growth that Covenant Keepers is showing this year, we believe that it will take at least two years before -- those students entering two and three years below the grade level, we believe it will take two years of systemic interventions, that they've mentioned to you, prior to them being at grade level. So the question you asked was, "Can we track growth on NWEA?" Yes, we can, individualized student growth. Is that proficiency? No, it isn't. You're comparing the child's growth, how they scored to what NWEA has as a predictor of what the growth should be. And Covenant Keepers currently, they're showing a year-and-a-half's growth and we haven't even finished spring assessment. We believe that in a two-year time period we may not remediate every child, but the numbers that you saw, if they remain at Covenant Keepers long-term, we believe that remediation can occur in that three-year time period. This is very similar to what we see with other
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charter schools across that area of Little Rock, that services Southwest Little Rock, that it takes at least two years of intensive intervention to help with remediating the deficits that they enter with. I will be glad to address any questions or -- that you might have for me.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Does anybody have any questions for Ms. Cox? Okay. MS. COX: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We want to take about a five-minute break and then come back and we'll get people from our staff made available. We'll take a five-minute break.
(OFF THE RECORD)
(BACK ON THE RECORD)
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We'll go ahead and start back. Dr. Wilde, I see that you're there, and we've got to swear in our own staff, don't we? All right. Mr. Harvey, I don't know you're going to speak today, but we may have some questions for you. But if you would, raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right. Dr. Wilde, the
question that $I$ have is just mainly -- the Department has been working with the Covenant Keepers staff. I would just kind of like an overview or something of that work and where you feel like that's progressing or not progressing in some of the areas that they've outlined.

DR. WILDE: Midyear, we had a change in specialists that was assigned there, and in part that was due to changes we were making inside of support to the Little Rock school system. If you had read the report, the IMO report from the second quarter, the report was pretty negative in the sense of not reporting any progress. We talked with Dr. Tatum at that particular point to see if there was some confusion going on. We had a meeting. We then followed up with a team that did an onsite review. It's still in draft form, and we're hoping in draft form until the end of the third quarter. And what we have said is, we saw a lot of progress being made, even though it wasn't report in the IMO report. And to their credit, they had started off the year with their own plan. So last summer, they had developed an improvement plan with their consultant and we then did the academic review for academic distress and provided them recommendations around the 1st of

October. Okay, they were already moving with their plan and then along comes the recommendations. So I think, rightly so, they stayed with their plan until they had it fully implemented. I would say that they, in the third quarter, have started inclusion of the recommendations and are making a sincere effort to implement those recommendations. Does that answer what you were getting at? CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, your overall feeling is that they are willing to take guidance, and work and try to -- there is a sense of trying to make a general improvement of their operation? DR. WILDE: And had you asked me that in September, I probably would have said no. But at this point, I would say that there has been a very collegial effort between the unit and the school to integrate the plans. So that's a -- we're much improved in our perception related to Covenant Keepers.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are there other questions of Dr. Wilde? Any other questions for Covenant Keepers or their staff? Yes, Ms. Coffman. MS. COFFMAN: For Dr. Tatum. Thank you. What is your plan for the next school year? Will you continue your work with the APSRC? What is your
plan?
DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am. First, I want to definitely thank the Department of Education for being willing to roll out the recommendations and to recognize that we were already on top of the game, and just the huge amount of support whenever we call. They're making scheduled dates and meeting with us on our campus, or we can come here. So the information and the support has just been phenomenal at the Department and also for the APSRC. In moving forward, we plan to stick with the plan that we've gotten, that we've worked with with APSRC. We still plan to work with the Department. I did talk to Scott Smith, the executive director, the other day, and it's perfectly okay for them to work with us. We're also applying for the $C$ grant, just to ensure that we really give -- or get the monies or the funding in place to really help to meet the needy population. We already have started interviewing teachers for the next year. We do recognize that May 1 is the date for where we have to give the letters. We're planning to ask all of our teachers to come back, but just in the event of that we want to be prepared to put someone in place immediately. So, business as usual. We recognize the hard work that's
before us, but we're going to make it happen. So every plan that you heard today will continue to be done with fidelity.

MS. COFFMAN: I wanted to publicly recognize what a fabulous job you do on your website. I spoke to the teachers, but they do an amazing job on their website of communicating with parents about the expectations for the students in their class, and the website is easy to read and really accessible to get to the information. So I just wanted to publicly recognize what a good job you're doing.

DR. TATUM: Thank you.
MS. COFFMAN: Thank you.
DR. TATUM: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other questions? Okay. Do they have a closing or anything, Ms. Clay, or is that --

MS. CLAY: That's not part of the procedures, but you can certainly allow them to make closing statements, if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you have anything you wanted to say, Dr. Tatum?

DR. TATUM: Yes, I do. Just a little closing. I can be long, because I told you I like to communicate and talk to my teachers, so I just love
to talk. Looking at Act 1272 -- someone's laughing back there -- I know. Okay. Just to bring into record, Act 1272 that was just passed through the General Assembly concerning the academic distress schools, the State Board is looking at maybe openenrollment charter schools where admission and enrollment are primarily focused on students who have dropped out of school and identified as at-risk schools. We are not potentially an ALE. We haven't been though, but just to kind of put it on the record, that's kind of the demographics of what we're working with. So, I just wanted to put that out. Again, to you all, we appreciate all the questions. We know that you're making sure that we're doing what's right for children in our school, and we appreciate your time as well.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Clay, if you could, come up and remind us of what our potential actions are here today.

MS. CLAY: Okay. You can make a final decision here today; you can make no decision here today. If you want to take the matter under advisement, you can do that and revisit the issue at a later meeting. Under 6-23-105 of the procedures that you've had today, you have the option of putting the Charter on
probation, modifying the charter, or revoking the charter. So there is a lot of discretion and latitude of what your decision, if any, could be today.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: This may be a question for Ms. Hogue, before we start any discussion. When is their -- I believe in the spring of 2013 they came back for the renewal of their charter. When was that?

MS. HOGUE: In three years.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So when will their next charter renewal be up?

MS. HOGUE: This next school year.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So they'll be coming back to us next year for their official charter renewal?

MS. HOGUE: They will.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Now, Members, you've heard the discussion today, and I would entertain a motion.

DR. DECKER: I have a question. When you said "next year," is that next school year, 2016-17 school year, for renewal?

MS. HOGUE: The renewals take place in January, so it will be in January '16, and that will be for
the next year.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So they -- I'm just making sure, to clarify for Dr . Decker, they'll be open -they have one year, which is the '15-16 school year, but they'll come back to renew for '16-17 --

MS. HOGUE: That's right.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: -- and subsequent years? MS. HOGUE: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
DR. DECKER: I have just a, I guess, commentary, and that is I certainly admire strongly the ambition that is there, the amount of diligence that's been given towards a plan, and the -- of course, just the time that's being spent on it. I guess what I'm struggling with though is when $I$ look at empirical evidence, it's rather difficult. I certainly appreciate the comment from the school improvement office. I don't have a motion, but I suppose that's my comment, and what I'm kind of struggling with is the data pieces of this are not what we'd like to see.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other comments or -- we do need to -- I guess there could be a motion to -we do need some kind of motion.

DR. SAUNDERS: I want to ask a question also,
and it may be to you two. If a charter school was put on probation pending future test scores, then say it was a one-year period, at the end of that year we would only have test scores back from one year from now; we'd only have test scores back from '14-15 at that point -- is that correct -- which would have been the initial year for PARCC?

MS. BARNES: Yes, and it depends on -- yes. And it depends on when that would be. We should have some results back from PARCC by January for the renewal time. But again, since we have to do standards setting and all of that in the summer, it may not be the best indicator to identify how we are going to address whatever motion we make. So it would need to be a little more detailed with respect to what we're looking for. We have to already look at how we're going to do designations. As you know, we have written into our proposal requests to delay making any kind of designations on our '14-15 assessment data with respect to federal. And for state, we'll have to look at state distributions. So I don't know that that would be the best way to word a motion.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Let me -- Ms. Clay or Ms. Hogue, and probably Annette, we'll need your help on
this. So, basically, the understanding that the open enrollment charter renewal process will happen in January, and given the fact that we've got the PARCC assessment and the testing results being delayed to where those won't be available till November, my first question is: will we have -- when we go to make the renewal decision, will we have the '14-15 data available at that time? And that's probably an inadequate question.

MS. BARNES: It's hard for me to say that we are supposed to have it. But, you know, again, we can't guarantee it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And then, Kendra -- or, Ms. Clay, sorry, this might be a question for you. As far as a probation period, I guess I have trouble seeing the difference; if we're putting somebody on probation, then that would probably be for a year's time, and then it would kind of coincide with the renewal process. So, I don't know that there's any -- is there anything procedurally different about being on probation versus not, when the renewal process is coming up?

MS. CLAY: A couple of things. It makes an official notation in their file that the charter was on probation for X period of time. So, you know,
however many years from now somebody could look back and see that. It also would prohibit them from getting a license to open a new school. So, there's a little bit more teeth to a probation than just letting the charter run its course and possibly not renewing, but the end-result, it could be the same.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Of course, this is just -probably just semantics, but they are already labeled as being in academic distress.

MS. CLAY: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So really probation and not doing anything in my mind has the same affect. So really we're looking at that versus an outright just revoking of the charter. And what does that mean procedurally?

MS. CLAY: A revocation of the charter, if you choose to go that route you would need to determine when the effective date of that revocation would be. I mean, it could be anything from immediately to the end of this school year to the end of the charter term. So it would mean that they no longer have a charter, so that they would no longer be operating a school. One thing that I'll mention with probation, something that has happened in the past -- and you can do this anyway, outside of probation -- is when a
charter school has been placed on probation there have been additional reporting requirements so that the authorizer has some -- you know, say the probation is for a year; they might have quarterly reports on the progress and additional action can be taken at any time during that probationary year or time period too.

MS. COFFMAN: Can I ask another question for Dr. Wilde?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, Ms. Coffman.
MS. COFFMAN: Dr. Wilde, when could we expect to see the third quarter and fourth quarter final reports from your office?

MR. WILDE: The third quarter reports will be available in May, the first of May. The fourth quarter will available about the end of the first week of June. Okay, I know it's not my place, and so if I'm out of bounds I apologize. But in terms of a recommendation coming from the school improvement unit, we have looked at Covenant Keepers in relationship to the community in which they are placed or that they serve and compared their scores to schools around them. And we have reviewed the parent surveys in terms of the feeling tone by the community towards the school, and I would have to say
that they are comparable to the schools in which those kids would be attending, but they're smaller in size. And the community that they serve is very positive about the safety of their children and where they're at. So I would say that they are serving a niche. I would encourage you to move to probation and let there be more reporting and closer monitoring, but I would say that they're making gains and I would say that they're serving a niche. And again, 1 know that's not my place, so --

MS. BARNES: I have a question.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Yes, ma'am. MS. BARNES: Prior to Dr. Wilde's statement, my question was going to be: so if we require more reporting, does that mean automatic -- it would have to be probation, or could we require more reporting to the Department without probation?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: My understanding is we can ask for more reporting without probation. Any other questions, discussion?

DR. SAUNDERS: Since there's not a -- doesn't appear to be a rush on the motion, if you're okay -if $I$ can just ask some more questions that $I$ have for Dr. Tatum? Are you okay with that?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure.
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DR. SAUNDERS: As I heard the presentation and looked at the data, $I$ have a few ideas, I guess I would say, or my understanding, and I want to give you the opportunity to explain some of this. And my first thought: you know, I continue to hear that you're serving a different population than other schools. And so as I look at the demographics, and I'm comparing specifically with the Little Rock School District, I understand that the ELL population is roughly doubled from $10 \%$ in the Little Rock School District to $20 \%$ in yours. The low-income numbers that I was looking at here from 2013, 71\% in Little Rock, $81 \%$ in Covenant Keepers. In special education, $11 \%$ in Little Rock and 3\% at Covenant Keepers. And so I do see some differences within those, and perhaps some of those differences balance out in relation to student achievement. I'm not sure. I guess I'm just not entirely convinced, and I want to give you this opportunity because you're serving a truly different population that would score substantially different than the surrounding area.

DR. TATUM: A population that will score differently, how? Not in a good way or -- I guess I need clarity --

DR. SAUNDERS: Sure.
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DR. TATUM: -- on what your question is.
DR. SAUNDERS: And the reason that I say that, if I were looking at the scores comparably between Covenant Keepers -- and I'm just looking at the Little Rock District as a whole; I'm not looking at individual schools there. But it does appear to me that in most of the categories that Covenant Keepers is scoring below the Little Rock School District as a whole. And so if these populations are the same, then why is there this difference? If the populations are different -- that's what I'm asking you for.

DR. TATUM: Okay.
DR. SAUNDERS: Is there some reasonable explanation, if the explanation in the performance difference is because of student population? That's what I'm asking you.

DR. TATUM: Yes, sir. And there's going to be a great difference in growth because we've been proactive in getting the mental health individual organization on campus every day. That has made a difference. The other significant piece is, the first year, kids, ELL, entering our school and doing very, very well because of the academic curriculum and things that we do for them. And so those will be
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really huge differences for our population to do very, very well in moving forward.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think what Dr. Saunders is asking you to highlight why are your kids different from the kids that are in the Little Rock School District. What are those differences?

DR. TATUM: Well, when I begin to think about the differences, and as a school leader, some of the same behaviors and different things kids -- they would do some of the same things. The difference is not the teachers; it's the students. The difference is what the teachers bring to the table to give to our kids every day. We've had -- we have a structured environment. We have a mental health piece. We have a curriculum that is simply awesome for our kids. They give more of our students the hands-on they need to be able to really learn in the classroom setting. Also, we're not just hiring teachers. It's a strategic process to work at Covenant Keepers because we want the best in front of the best children. We recognize the hard work. We understand all of that plays a part when you're working with kids that are different. Compared to schools in the area, that's not entirely the whole district. As I already mentioned, the mental health
piece and our ESL is school-wide. We go to Dr. Guerrero's -- many of our teachers go to Dr. Guerrero's conference every year because we know that we have a growing need of ELL's. And so our teachers return very equipped to work with the children that are in their classroom every single day. So, yes, our children are different -- but what makes the difference in why we're doing so much better is because of the teachers and school leaders we have on board this year. Okay. And if you would have those school leaders working -- if you go back here and really look at this particular piece here, we currently have 22 schools classified in academic distress. If you look here, we're just a margin away from being here, at $50 \%$. We're in this upper tier right here. Now if you look at all the districts, where they are, look where Covenant Keepers is; we're at $46.64 \%$. That's where Barbara went back, and she said, "It's going to take us a series of time to get these kids where they need to go." This is impressive to me right here. So we're in the upper tiers right here on the state assessments in literacy and math over the past three years. Okay. I thought that would just give a little more teeth to what we're talking about. Okay. Did I answer you, Dr.
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Saunders?
DR. SAUNDERS: I think so.
DR. DECKER: I think so.
DR. TATUM: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other questions or discussion?

DR. TATUM: I would like for Scott Smith -- an individual that I really have learned to appreciate his integrity, the charter resource program he runs, and I believe in him. And way before the -- as we were coming into renewal, I developed a great relationship with Scott Smith. He's a tough guy. And so I would like for him to speak on behalf of Covenant or just what he has seen.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Things were going well for you till you made that comment. Is that okay with panel members for Mr . Smith --

MR. SMITH: I'm not sure what I can add that hasn't already been placed before you. But anyways, just to Dr. Saunders' point, the last issue, first of all, I don't think it's necessarily an apples-toapples comparison to compare a small school to a 20something thousand student district. And so I'm not sure exactly which numbers are being compared there. But without getting into the weeds of all that, I
think it's also important to note that the state is in transition with regards to assessments, which you obviously have picked up on and talked about. I think it's also important to note that the state itself is looking at how to handle schools like possibly Covenant Keepers or other ALE type schools or charter schools that have possibly high dropout, at-risk populations out there. So I think all that is obviously part of your consideration. I think the important thing to note is that it is unacceptable, obviously, to have anyone in academic distress, but particularly I think for charter schools. And unless they are showing strong evidence -- strong evidence that they are moving out of it in a reasonable timeframe, then that's something that you should consider.

As it relates to what Kendra has provided, as far as your standard, this school has already been evidenced as having a valid reason to exist compared to any other schools, based on the fact that the State Board approved the charter and put it in place. The issue before you today, as this relates to -- as I understand it -- how they're responding to their academic distress scenario and what evidence they put before you to suggest that they're making that strong
evidence of moving out of academic distress and performing well. And I think obviously that's what is the central issue, especially in the transition phase that the state is in, and does it make sense to close a school if it might be a good example or a good model of one that is making good progress in this area, particularly concerning population, and is showing evidence of some things that could be useful or helpful not only to this school but possibly to other schools in many ways. So I'd just offer that for your consideration as well. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Dr. Decker.
DR. DECKER: A question for the school improvement office. In the quarter three and quarter four reports that are coming out, is there empirical data related to student attendance, discipline and course grades?

DR. WILDE: Course grades, probably not. In terms of -- highlight in terms of attendance, yes. What was the other area? Attendance?

DR. DECKER: Student discipline and then attendance and then grades?

DR. WILDE: Yes. Those will be included.
DR. DECKER: In the quarter three and in quarter four, and then that will continue? Next year,
quarter one, we'll also see data related to those things?

DR. WILDE: Correct. And then there's also a separate report -- and we specifically -- because they were in priority status before moving to academic distress, we are still following up on their improvement plan. And there's a report for their improvement plan and then there is a separate report related to improvement or implementation of the recommendations for academic distress. So they actually have two reports that will be coming. DR. DECKER: Okay. Thank you. I have a motion at the proper time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other discussion? Dr. Decker, you have a motion?

DR. DECKER: I move to take the matter under advisement until such time as the charter renewal application. MS. BARNES: Second. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a motion to take the matter under advisement until the next renewal cycle. Ms. Clay, is that a proper -MS. CLAY: It is a proper motion. Your procedures call for taking the matter under advisement until a future scheduled meeting. I have
a little bit of a problem with there's not an actual future scheduled meeting. I would make the suggestion that you're basically saying take no action at this time, so that might be a cleaner motion to make, because the renewal process will happen regardless of what happens here today.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you want to modify your motion?

DR. DECKER: I'll modify it.
MS. BARNES: Second.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we have a motion by Dr. Decker to take no action at this time, a second by Ms. Barnes. Is there any discussion?

MS. COFFMAN: I would just encourage the school to insure that the reports that are going to come to us in June are thorough and that any additional documents you need to include to show the progress that the students are making, certainly not identifying the students in any way, but if we can see how those kids are progressing, the rate of their progressions, I think we would feel much more comfortable in the decision-making process that will occur in January.

DR. DECKER: I would also supplement Ms. Coffman's comments with the reason for my motion was
in understanding that the reports that we're going to be receiving soon are going to be comprehensive and include these other indicators outside of assessment. If $I$ was basing the motion solely off the assessment data, $I$ think $I$ would have a different motion at the time. So my request is, is strongly look at the data regarding those other metrics outside of and in addition to assessment and be sure to include those so we can share the whole picture of the student and the progress that they're making.

DR. TATUM: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a motion and a second. Dr. Saunders, did you have something?

DR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I'd like to amend the motion. My amendment would be to place them on probationary status until the renewal process. And I think at the renewal process, in looking at that, emphasis does need to be placed specifically on the results from the PARCC assessment in relation to schools surrounding.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Clay, is that an amending motion or substitute motion?

MS. CLAY: That seems more like a substituting motion, which, if Dr. Decker is agreeable to, you can proceed with a substituted motion. If not, you need
to vote on the motion that has currently been seconded and then proceed, if necessary, after that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So we have a motion and a second, and we have a substitute motion, unless you want your motion to be voted on we can do that.

DR. DECKER: Discussion.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
DR. DECKER: My concern with the substitute motion is I'm not sure that the procedural changes that would result if we place them on probation -meaning I'm not sure what we're going to obtain or the impact on students as opposed to taking it under advisement. That's my question.

DR. SAUNDERS: I do think our action does need to be taking some kind of action. I think taking it under advisement is more of a "no" action.

MS. BARNES: And that was the motion, to take no action until such time as renewal, with all of the concerns placed on them providing very detailed reports. So the reason I'm speaking is I would prefer then that we go ahead and vote on the motion and the one that has been seconded. Should that fail, then we could entertain the other substitute motion.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ms. Clay, do we just vote
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first on -- or do we disregard the --
MS. CLAY: You can just vote on the motion that is -- Dr. Decker's motion that has been seconded, because that is already a proper motion that has been seconded at this time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. All in favor say "aye."

DR. DECKER: Aye.
MS. BARNES: Aye.
MS. COFFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any opposed?
DR. SAUNDERS: No.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So do I need to vote since it's for a majority, since we have four -- five people total?

MS. CLAY: You may want to do a roll-call. You need three votes to pass the motion.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. There were three.
MS. CLAY: There were three? Okay.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
MS. CLAY: Then it passes.
CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right. Motion carries. Okay. Thank you Dr. Tatum.

DR. TATUM: Thank you. And I would certainly invite all of you-all to come, see the great things,
great teachers, great curriculum that's rolled out at 5615 Geyer Springs Road.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Dr. Tatum. All right. The next thing we have on our agenda is a work session. Do we want to take a little bit of a break before we -- okay. We'll take a -- let's take a 10 -minute break and then we'll come back and begin our work session while we're waiting on -- Dr. Jones may show up for this.
(The hearing was adjourned at 10:45 a.m.)
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